

Life-Cycles and Mutual Effects of Scientific Communities

Václav Belák, Marcel Karnstedt, and Conor Hayes

first.last@deri.org

Introduction



Assessment of scientific progress based on citation measures is static. Analysis and modelling of dynamic mutual effects of scientific communities promises to be a more viable form of evaluation of progress and impact of a research community.

We propose a general framework uniquely combining topological and content analysis with special visualization techniques to analyse and explain such dynamics.

Aim

Inspired by Thomas Kuhn's work, we identified several interesting cross-community phenomena, which themselves we mined in an automated manner:

- Community shift and shift/merge
- Community specialization



Data


- 5772 authors and 817642 links in total
- 10 slices between 2000–2009
- Average slice had 2027 nodes and 81764.2 links
- Nearly 70% coverage by keywords

Methodology

- We extracted co-citation network from publications of two related disciplines: Semantic Web (SW) and Information Retrieval (IR)
- Overlapping time-slices of the network were generated from the network
- Communities were identified in each slice using Infomap and Louvain methods, but **any other can be used**
- Communities were matched according to the highest Jaccard coefficient
- Then, we detected important ancestors and descendants
- Each author was described by a ranked (TF-IAF) vector of keywords mined from the publications

Results

Emergence of intermediary Louvain community 15 was first identified as a community shift from IR community 4. It then changed its topic under an influence of SW community 5, which kept its focus on core SW topics, while it largely participated in forming of a new interdisciplinary community 15.




Illustrations of community 5 "SW" (red—left side), "IR" communities 0, 4, 6 and 9 (grey, beige, pink and red—right side, respectively) and their intermediary community 15 (violet) in years 2004 (left) and 2007 (right).

Specialization of Infomap community 9 was characterized by a diminishing size with rise of topical cohesiveness (C/C ratio). Since 2002, when this development started, until 2004, we found 3 community shifts. Therefore, the original big SW community started to specialize while it produced couple of more tightly focused communities.

Cross-community effects were analysed and detected using:

- *Community life-cycle measures*




- *Community topic evolution measures*

query, web, knowledge, semantic, ontologies, data search, architecture, algorithm

Conclusion

We developed a **general and scalable framework** for analysis of cross-community phenomena uniquely combining **topological and content analysis**. The developed measures proved to be useful in detection of phenomena like community shift.



Acknowledgements

Supported by the Science Foundation Ireland under Grant No. SFI/08/CE/I1380 and 08/SRC/I1407.